

TEACH OR TRAIN

An *individual event*, recognizes participants who demonstrate their ability to explore and experience the career of teaching or training. Participants must prepare a *portfolio* of the teaching/training career, prepare and execute a complete lesson/workshop plan and an oral presentation. Levels 2 and 3 & 4 participants will also complete a shadowing experience of a "best practices" educator.

ELIGIBILITY & GENERAL INFORMATION

- 1. Review "Eligibility and General Rules for All Levels of Competition" on page 11 prior to event planning and preparation.
- 2. The project developed for the Teach or Train event does not have to relate to a Family and Consumer Sciences curriculum area.
- 3. Participants are encouraged to bring fully charged devices such as laptops, tablets, etc., to use for audiovisual or *electronic portfolio* presentation at competitions.
- 4. Chapters with multiple entries in this event must submit different projects for each entry. All projects must be developed and completed during a one-year span beginning July 1 and ending June 30 of the school year before the National Leadership Conference (NLC) and must be the work of the participant(s) only.
- 5. National Leadership Conference (NLC) participants are required to view the online orientation video and submit the STAR Events Online Orientation Form by the deadline. The video and form will be on the FCCLA Student Portal and can be found under Surveys Applications. Only one form per entry is required. Contact your <u>State Adviser</u> for orientation procedures for competitions held prior to the National Leadership Conference (NLC).
- 6. Participants who do not follow the event guidelines or the definition of the event, their project will not be considered for evaluation. However, the participant can still participate in the competition by giving an oral presentation and will only be evaluated based on that presentation.

CLICK HERE TO VIEW NATIONAL DEADLINES

CAREER PATHWAYS ALIGNMEN	T		
Human Services	Hospitality & Tourism	Education & Training	Visual Arts & Design

EVENT LEVELS			
Level 1:	Level 2:	Level 3:	Level 4:
Through Grade 8	Grades 9–10	Grades 11–12	Postsecondary
*See page 7 for more informativ	on on event levels		

*See page 7 for more information on event levels.

GENERAL INFORMATION			
Number of Participants per Entry	Prepare Ahead of Time	Equipment Provided for Competition	Competition Dress Code
1	Portfolio and Oral Presentation	Table–Yes Electrical Access–No Wall Space–No Supplies–No Wi-Fi – No	FCCLA Official Dress

PRESENTATIO	ON ELEMENTS A	ALLOWED						
Audio	Easel(s)	File Folder	Flip Chart(s)	Portfolio	Props/ Pointers	Skits	Presentation Equipment	Visuals



COMPETITION PROCEDURES & TIME REQUIREMENTS

SPECIFICATIONS	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVELS 3 & 4
• •	mit a <i>portfolio (hardcopy</i> or electronic) to the event ^f their chosen career area.	room consultant at the des	ignated participation time
5 minutes	Participant(s) will have 5 minutes to set up	for the event. Other persons	s may not assist.
10 minutes	Room consultants and evaluators will have electronic) during the participants set up tir accessible to evaluators.		
10 minutes	The presentation may be up to 10 minutes minutes. The participant will be stopped at they are limited to a 3 minute playing time no audio, may be used during the entire pre	10 minutes. If audio or audi during the presentation. Pre	ovisual recordings are used,
5 minutes	Following the presentation, evaluators will	have 5 minutes to interview	participant(s).
5 minutes	Evaluators will have up to 5 minutes to use	the rubric to score and writ	e comments for participants.
	Total Time: 30 Minut	es	

TEACH OR TRAIN SPECIFICATIONS

PORTFOLIO FORMAT (CHOOSE ONE)				
Hardcopy Portfolio	The <i>portfolio</i> is a collection of materials used to document and illustrate the work of the project. Materials must be contained in the official <u>FCCLA STAR Events binder</u> obtained from the FCCLA national emblematic supplier. A decorative and/or informative cover may be included. All materials, including the <i>content divider pages</i> , must fit within the cover, be one-sided and may not exceed 44 pages, as described below. Divider page tabs may extend up to 1" outside the cover. Once a <i>hardcopy portfolio</i> has been turned in to evaluators, participants may not switch to an <i>electronic</i> <i>portfolio</i> .			
Electronic Portfolio	An <i>electronic portfolio</i> may be either in PowerPoint, Prezi or other electronic format that can be viewed by the evaluators and room consultants prior to the oral presentation. The <i>electronic portfolio</i> and the hardware (method) to view it (i.e., equipment, files, projectors, screens, laptops) will be turned in to the room consultant at the designated participation time. Participants assume the responsibility of providing the <i>technology</i> used to show the evaluators the project. Once an <i>electronic portfolio</i> is turned in to the evaluators, participants may not switch to a <i>hardcopy portfolio</i> . <i>Portfolio</i> may not exceed 57 slides, as described below.			

SPECIFICATIONS		LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVELS 3 & 4		
1-8 ½" x 11" page or 1 slide	Project Identification Page	Must include participant's name(s), chapter name, school, city, state, event name, level, and project title. Page can be up to 1 - 8 ½" x 11" page or 1 slide, but cannot be larger.				
1-8 ½" x 11" page or 1 slide	Table of Contents	List the parts of the <i>portfolio</i>	in the order in which the p	arts appear.		
1-8 ½" x 11" page or 2 slides	FCCLA <i>Planning</i> <i>Process</i> Summary Page	Summarize how each step of the project; use of the <i>Planni</i> presentation.	-			
1-8 ½" x 11" page or 1 slide	Evidence of Online Summary Form Submission	Complete the Online Project tab of the FCCLA Student Por portfolio.				
0–7 Content Divider/section pages or slides	<i>Content Divider</i> <i>Pages</i> or sections	Use 0 to 7 <i>Content</i> Divider/se may be tabbed, may contain decorations and/or page nun	a title, a section name, gra	phic elements, thematic		



SPECIFICATIONS (CONTINUED)

SPECIFICATIONS		EVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVELS 3 & 4		
		on or workshop	Create 2 related lesson or	Create 3 related lesson or		
	plan		workshop plans. The second	workshop plans. The second		
			lesson/workshop must build	and third lessons/workshops		
Lesson/Workshop			upon the presented	must build upon the presented		
			information and skills learned	information and skills learned		
			in the first lesson/workshop.	in the first lesson/workshop.		
			in the first lesson/workshop.	in the first lessony workshop.		
SPECIFICATIONS		LEVEL	1 LEVEL 2	LEVELS 3 & 4		
		Pages or slides th	hat provide detailed research includ	ling job description: duties and		
	Career Exploration	-	qualifications; entry-level position			
	Summary	and job outlook. Refer to the Career Exploration and Self–Assessment Summa Page instruction sheet.				
		Pages or slides that include examining personal interests, values, aptitudes, skill				
	Self-Assessment	personality traits	personality traits and learning styles. Refer to the Career Exploration and Self-			
	Document	Assessment Sum				
	Lesson/Workshop	Indicate the topic, grade level, timeframe, learning objectives and state/national				
	Plan: Planning	standards.				
	Lesson/Workshop	List all materials needed and describe the instructional strategies used to implement				
	Plan: Organization	the lesson.				
	Lesson/Workshop	Choose up to 3 activities to implement the lesson. Describe each activity; include				
	Plan: Activities	activity timeframe and materials needed.				
Up to 34	Lesson/Workshop	Determine the assessment method(s) to evaluate the lesson and include ways to				
8 ½" x 11"	Plan: Assessment	improve content				
pages or 44 slides	Lesson/Workshop	Develop resources needed to implement the lesson (handouts, etc.) and include				
	Plan: Other	them in <i>portfolio</i> as applicable.				
	Resources					
	Lesson/Workshop		itations for materials used in lesso	n and any additional notes, as		
	Plan: Sources and Notes	needed.				
	Notes	Dagas ar slidas th	at show photos written avidance	comments evaluations thank		
	Evidence of Prior	Pages or slides that show photos, written evidence, comments, evaluations, thank you notes, etc. indicate the lesson or workshop was presented and the outcomes,				
	Presentation		ement methods. Minimum of one	-		
	Evidence of		ide evidence of how <i>technology</i> en			
	Technology Used	planning and exe				
	Works	· -	formatting when citing sources. All	sources must be <i>reliable</i> and		
	Cited/ <i>Bibliography</i>	current.				
	Portfolio		e neat, legible and <i>professional</i> and	use correct grammar and		
	Appearance	spelling.				
	Appendice					



TEACH OR TRAIN SPECIFICATIONS (CONTINUED)

SHADOWING EXPERIENCE	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVELS 3 & 4
<i>Best Practices Educator</i> Shadowing		Document experience of shadowi who is competent and <i>professional</i> ly excellent in selecter in-person or virtual experiences. Include examples of documentati This may include, but is not limiter interviews from business, industry personnel; written narrative of jo of shadowing experience. This is to be included in the <i>portfolio cor</i>	ed career field. This can include fon of the shadowing experience. ed to, written summaries of y, agency and organization b shadowing; and photographs
PRESENTATION FORMAT			
Oral Descentation	presentation must explain	be up to 10 minutes in length and is deliven the specifics of the project. The presenta <i>ment</i> is used, it is limited to 3-minutes pl	ition may not be prerecorded. If

Oral Presentation presentation. Presentation equipment, with no audio, may be used throughout the oral presentation. Participants may use any combination of props, materials, supplies and/or equipment to demonstrate how to carry out the project. LEVEL 2 LEVELS 3 & 4 SPECIFICATIONS LEVEL 1 **Organization/Delivery** Deliver an organized, sequential oral presentation; concisely and thoroughly summarize research. Knowledge of Teaching or Demonstrate thorough research and knowledge of teaching or training. Training Describe the relationship of Describe the relationship of Describe the relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences Family and Consumer Sciences Family and Consumer Sciences **Relationship to Family and** coursework to project. coursework to project. Explain coursework and standards to **Consumer Sciences** which FCCLA National project. Explain which FCCLA **Coursework and/or Related** Program(s) could be used National Program(s) could be Careers during project implementation. used during project

			implementation. Identify
			career pathway.
Use of Portfolio and Visuals	Use the <i>portfolio</i> and <i>visuals</i> to s	upport, illustrate or complement p	resentation.
Voice	Speak clearly with appropriate pitch, tempo and volume.		
Pody Longuage	risms, eye contact and		
Body Language	appropriate handling of notes or note cards if used.		
Grammar/Word Usage/	Use proper grammar, word usage and pronunciation.		
Pronunciation			
Responses to Evaluators'	Provide clear and concise answe	rs to evaluators' questions regardir	ng project.
Questions			



Resources

A copy of the following resources may also be accessed in the FCCLA Adviser/Student Portal under "Resources" > "Competitive Events" > "STAR Events Resources".

- FCCLA Planning Process
- Work Cited Citation Guide
- <u>Career Exploration and Self-Assessment Summary Page Instructions</u>
- <u>Shadowing Reflection Summary Instructions</u>
- Teach or Train Lesson Plan Template

National Leadership Conference Resources

- <u>Confirm STAR Events Instructions</u>
 - Note: This is <u>only</u> for National Leadership Conference Participants and can only be done by Chapter Advisers. Members should check with their Chapter Adviser to verify this step has been completed.
- Online Orientation Instructions
 - Note: This is <u>only</u> for National Leadership Conference Participants and can only be done in the Student Portal. This form and video will be released by May 1. Please check with your State Adviser for District/Regional/State Orientation requirements.



STAR EVENTS POINT SUMMARY FORM

Participant Name:				
Chapter:	State:	Team #:	Station #:	Level:

- 1. Make sure all information at top is correct. If a student named is not participating, cross their name(s) off. If a *team* does not show, write "No Show" across the top and return with other forms. Do NOT change *team* or station numbers.
- 2. Before student presentation, the room consultants must check participants' *portfolio* using the criteria and standards listed below and fill in the boxes.
- 3. Confirm STAR Competition(s) is mandatory solely for participation at the National Leadership Conference. States have the authority to decide whether this requirement applies to picking up the registration packet and confirming the event/schedule accuracy OR attending a state-specific orientation.
- 4. At the conclusion of presentation, verify evaluator scores and fill in information below. Calculate the final score and ask for evaluators' verification. Place this form in front of the completed rubrics and staple all items related to the presentation together.
- 5. At the end of competition in the room, double check all scores, names and *team* numbers to ensure accuracy. Sort results by *team* order and turn in to the Lead Consultant.
- 6. Check with the Lead Consultant if there are any questions regarding the evaluation process.

ROOM CONSULTANT CHECK			POINTS
	Confirmed STAR Competition(s) schedul	e in the FCCLA Adviser Portal by deadline	
Confirm STAR Competition(s)	(National Leadership Conference Only)		
0 or 1 points	0	1	
	No	Yes	
Frent Online Orientation Form	0	1	
Event Online Orientation Form	Online Orientation Form not completed in the	Online Orientation Form completed in the	
0 or 1 points	Student Portal by deadline	Student Portal by deadline	
Hardcopy Portfolio	0	1	
0 or 1 point	Binder is not the official FCCLA binder	Binder is the official FCCLA binder	
OR	0	1	
Electronic Portfolio	Electronic Portfolio not in viewable format to	Electronic Portfolio in viewable format to the	
0 or 1 point	the evaluators	evaluators	
	0	1 2 3	
	Portfolio exceeds the page limit	At least 2 errors 1 error no errors	
		Portfolio is completed correctly and does not	
		exceed 44 single-sided pages or 57 slides,	
Portfolio Pages		including:	
0–3 points		• 1 project ID page or slide	
0-5 points		 1 table of contents page or slide 	
		• 1 Planning Process summary page or 2 slides	
		Project Summary Form submission proof	
		• Up to 7 Content Divider Pages or slides	
		• Up to 34 content pages or 46 content slides	
Punctuality	0	1	
0 or 1 point	Participant was late for presentation	Participant was on time for presentation	
Dress Code	0	1	
0 or 1 point	Event dress code was not followed	Event dress code was followed	
EVALUATORS' SCORES		ROOM CONSULTANT TOTAL	
Evaluator 1:	Initials:	(8 Points Possible)	
Evaluator 2:	Initials:	AVERAGE EVALUATOR SCORE	
Evaluator 3:	Initials:	(92 Points Possible)	
Total Score:	Divided by # of Evaluators	FINAL SCORE	
	= AVERAGE EVALUATOR SCORE	(Average Evaluator Score plus	
	Rounded only to the nearest hundredth (i.e., 79.	99 not 80.00) Room Consultant Score)	
RATING ACHIEVED (circle one)	Gold: 90–100 Silver: 70–89.99 B	ronze: 1–69.99	
VERIFICATION OF FINAL SCORE &	RATING (please initial)		
Evaluator 1: Evaluator	2: Evaluator 3: Adult Roc	om Consultant: Event Lead Consultar	nt:



LEVEL 1 RUBRIC

	ame:		Team #	: Station #	Level:	
PORTFOLIO						POINT
FCCLA Planning Process Summary Page D–10 points	0 Planning Process Summary not provided	1 2 3 Planning Process steps are not clearly summarized or are inadequate	4 5 6 All Planning Process steps are summarized	7 8 Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project	9 10 The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained. No more than 1 page or 2 slides	
Career Exploration 0–5 points	0 No evidence of career research	1 2 Career research poorly detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	3 Career research loosely detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	4 Career research detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	5 Career research well detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	
Self–Assessment 0–5 points	0 No evidence of self– assessment	1 2 Self-assessment poorly detailed using the Career Exploration and Self- Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	3 Self-assessment loosely detailed using the Career Exploration and Self- Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	4 Self-assessment detailed using the Career Exploration and Self- Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	5 Self–assessment well detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Planning 0–6 points	0 Not evident	1 2 Planning portion of Lesson Plan unclear or does not meet criteria. (See specifications)	3 4 Lesson Plan generally meets all Planning criteria. (See specifications)	5 Lesson Plan meets all Planning criteria. (See specifications)	6 Lesson Plan clearly meets all Planning criteria. (See specifications)	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Organization 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 2 Materials and strategies for lesson implementation poorly detailed	3 Materials and strategies for lesson implementation generally detailed	4 Materials and strategies for lesson implementation detailed	5 Materials and strategies for lesson implementation well detailed	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Activities 0–7 points	0 No activities included	1 2 Activity plans are missing logistical and resource information. The activity is not creative or interesting and does not relate well to lesson/workshop	3 4 Activity plans include adequate logistical and resource information. Activity is interesting	5 6 Activity plans include excellent logistical and resource information. The activity(s) is interesting and beneficial to most audience members	7 Activity plans include logistical information and an extensive list of resources. Activity(s) is creative, interesting and enhances the quality of the lesson. All audience members would benefit from the activity	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Assessment 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 2 Assessment method(s) unclear or improvement plan poorly detailed	3 Assessment method(s) generally evident. Plan for improvement loosely detailed	4 Assessment method(s) evident. Plan for improvement detailed	5 Assessment method(s) clearly evident. Plan for improvement well detailed	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Other <i>Resources</i> 0–5 points	0 No resources developed	1 2 Resources for lesson plan poorly developed or not included	3 Resources for lesson plan generally developed and included	4 Resources for lesson plan developed and included	5 Resources for lesson plan well developed and included	
Evidence of Prior Presentation D–5 points	0 No documented evidence of prior presentation	1 2 Evidence of prior presentation documented. Outcomes and improvement methods poorly detailed	3 Evidence of prior presentation documented. Outcomes and improvement methods loosely detailed	4 Evidence of prior presentation documented. Outcomes and improvement methods detailed	5 Evidence of prior presentation well documented. Outcomes and improvement methods well detailed	
Evidence of Technology Used 0–3 points	0 Role of technology not evident	1 Role of technology included	2 Role of technology included	3 Role of technology well detailed		
Works Cited/ Bibliography 0–3 points	0 Not included	1 Sources are incomplete, dated, unreliable and with many citation errors	2 Sources are complete, current and reliable, but have citation errors (see citation guide)	3 Sources are complete, current, reliable and in MLA/APA citation (see citation chart)		



LEVEL 1 RUBRIC (CONTINUED)

				/		
Portfolio Appearance 0–3 points	0 Portfolio is disorganized and illegible	1 Portfolio is disorganized, illegible and contains few grammar or spelling errors	2 Portfolio is organized, neat, legible and professional, with correct grammar and spelling	3 Portfolio is effectively organized, neat, legible and professional with correct grammar and spelling		
ORAL PRESEN	ΙΤΑΤΙΟΝ					POINTS
		1 2 3	4 5 6	78	9 10	POINTS
Organization/ Delivery	Presentation is not complete or presented	The presentation covers some or all topic elements	Presentation gives complete information but	Presentation covers information completely	Presentation covers all relevant information with	
0–10 points	briefly and does not cover components of the project	with limited information	does not explain the project well	but does not flow well	a seamless and logical delivery	
Knowledge of Teaching or Training 0–3 points	0 Knowledge of teaching or training is not evident	1 Knowledge of teaching or training is limited	2 Knowledge of teaching or training is evident and used in the presentation	3 Knowledge of teaching or training is clearly evident and used effectively in the presentation		
Relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences Coursework/ Standards 0-3 points	0 No evidence of relationship between FCS coursework and project	1 Limited evidence of relationship between FCS coursework and project	2 Evidence of relationship between FCS coursework and project	3 Detailed evidence of relationship between FCS coursework and project		
Use of Portfolio and visuals During Presentation 0–3 points	0 Portfolio not used during presentation	1 Portfolio used minimally during presentation OR was used to limited amount of speaking time	2 Portfolio incorporated throughout presentation	3 Presentation moves seamlessly between oral presentation and portfolio		
Voice-pitch, tempo, volume 0-3 points	0 Voice qualities not used effectively	1 Voice quality is adequate	2 Voice quality is good, but could improve	3 Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing		
Body Language 0–2 points	0 Uses inappropriate gestures, posture or mannerisms, avoids eye contact	1 Gestures, posture, mannerisms and eye contact is inconsistent	2 Gestures, posture, mannerisms, and eye contact are appropriate			
Grammar/Word Usage/ Pronunciation 0–3 points	0 Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	1 Some (3–5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	2 Few (1–2) grammatical and pronunciation errors	3 Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors		
Responses to Evaluators' Questions 0–3 points	0 Did not answer evaluators' questions	1 Unable to answer some questions and/or given with hesitation and/or inaccurate	2 Gave appropriate responses to evaluators' questions	3 Responses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation		
Evaluator's Comn	nents–Include two things don	e well and two opportunities	for improvement:		TOTAL (92 Points Possible)	
					Evaluator #: Evaluator Initials:	_
					RC Initials:	



LEVEL 2 RUBRIC

Participant	Name:
-------------	-------

Chapter:		State:	Team #	: Station #	: Level:	
PORTFOLIO						POINTS
FCCLA Planning Process Summary Page 0–10 points	0 Planning Process Summary not provided	1 2 3 Planning Process steps are not clearly summarized or are inadequate	4 5 6 All Planning Process steps are summarized	7 8 Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project	9 10 The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained. No more than 1 page or 2 slides	
Career Exploration 0–5 points	0 No evidence of career research	1 2 Career research poorly detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	3 Career research loosely detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	4 Career research detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	5 Career research well detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	
Self–Assessment 0–5 points	0 No evidence of self– assessment	1 2 Self–assessment poorly detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	3 Self–assessment loosely detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	4 Self–assessment detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	5 Self–assessment well detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Planning 0–5 points	0 Not evident	1 2 Planning portion of Lesson Plans unclear or does not meet criteria. (See specifications)	3 Lesson Plans generally meets all Planning criteria. (See specifications)	4 Lesson Plans meets all Planning criteria. (See specifications)	5 Lesson Plans clearly meets all Planning criteria. (See specifications)	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Organization 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 2 Materials and strategies for lessons implementation poorly detailed	3 Materials and strategies for lessons implementation generally detailed	4 Materials and strategies for lessons implementation detailed	5 Materials and strategies for lessons implementation well detailed	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Activities 0–5 points	0 No activities included	1 2 Activity plans are missing logistical and resource information. The activity is not creative or interesting and does not relate well to lesson/workshop	3 Activity plans include adequate logistical and resource information. Activity is interesting	4 Activity plans include excellent logistical and resource information. The activity(s) is interesting and beneficial to most audience members	5 Activity plans include logistical information and an extensive list of resources. Activity(s) is creative, interesting and enhances the quality of the lesson. All audience members would benefit from the activity	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Assessment 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 2 Assessment method(s) unclear or improvement plan poorly detailed	3 Assessment method(s) generally evident. Plan for improvement loosely detailed	4 Assessment method(s) evident. Plan for improvement detailed	5 Assessment method(s) clearly evident. Plan for improvement well detailed	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Other Resources 0–5 points	0 No resources developed	1 2 Resources for lesson plans poorly developed or not included	3 Resources for lesson plans generally developed and included	4 Resources for lesson plans developed and included	5 Resources for lesson plans well developed and included	
Evidence of Prior Presentation 0–4 points	0 No documented evidence of prior presentations	1 2 Evidence of prior presentations documented. Outcomes and improvement methods poorly detailed	2 Evidence of prior presentations documented. Outcomes and improvement methods loosely detailed	3 Evidence of prior presentations documented. Outcomes and improvement methods detailed	4 Evidence of prior presentations well documented. Outcomes and improvement methods well detailed	
Evidence of Technology Used 0–3 points	0 Role of technology not evident	1 Role of technology poorly detailed	2 Role of technology included	3 Role of technology well detailed		
Works Cited/ <i>Bibliography</i> 0–3 points	0 Not included	1 Sources are incomplete, dated, unreliable and with many citation errors	2 Sources are complete, current and reliable, but have citation errors (see citation guide)	3 Sources are complete, current, reliable and in MLA/APA citation (see citation chart)		



LEVEL 2 RUBRIC (CONTINUED)

Portfolio Appearance 0–3 points	0 Portfolio is disorganized and illegible	1 Portfolio is disorganized, illegible and contains few grammar or spelling errors	2 Portfolio is organized, neat, legible and professional, with correct grammar and spelling	3 Portfolio is effectively organized, neat, legible and professional with correct grammar and spelling			
SHADOWING	EXPERIENCE					POINTS	
Best Practices Educator Shadowing 0–4 points	0 No documented shadowing experience	1 Shadowing experience with a best practices educator poorly documented. Effect of experience on future career plans unclear	2 Shadowing experience with a best practices educator loosely documented. Effect of experience on future career plans generally evident	3 Shadowing experience with a best practices educator documented. Effect of experience on future career plans evident	4 Shadowing experience with a best practices educator documented extensively. Effect of experience on future career plans clearly evident		
ORAL PRESEN	TATION					POINTS	
Organization/ Delivery 0–10 points	0 Presentation is not complete or presented briefly and does not cover components of the project 0	1 2 3 The presentation covers some or all topic elements with limited information	4 5 6 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well 2	7 8 Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well 3	9 10 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery		
Knowledge of Teaching or Training 0–3 points	Knowledge of teaching or training is not evident	Knowledge of teaching or training is limited	Knowledge of teaching or training is evident and used in the presentation	Knowledge of teaching or training is clearly evident and used effectively in the presentation			
Relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences Coursework/ Standards	0 No evidence of relationship between FCS coursework and project. National Program not identified	1 Limited evidence of relationship between FCS coursework and project. National Program not identified	2 Evidence of relationship between FCS coursework and project. National Program identified	3 Detailed evidence of relationship between FCS coursework and project. National Program identified and explained well			
0–3 points Use of Portfolio	0	1	2	3			
and visuals During Presentation 0–3 points	Portfolio not used during presentation	Portfolio used minimally during presentation OR was used to limited amount of speaking time	Portfolio incorporated throughout presentation	Presentation moves seamlessly between oral presentation and portfolio			
Voice-pitch, tempo, volume 0-3 points	0 Voice qualities not used effectively	1 Voice quality is adequate	2 Voice quality is good, but could improve	3 Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing			
Body Language 0–2 points	0 Uses inappropriate gestures, posture or mannerisms, avoids eye contact	1 Gestures, posture, mannerisms and eye contact is inconsistent	2 Gestures, posture, mannerisms, and eye contact are appropriate				
Grammar/Word Usage/ Pronunciation 0–3 points	0 Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	1 Some (3–5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	2 Few (1–2) grammatical and pronunciation errors	3 Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors			
Responses to Evaluators' Questions 0–3 points	0 Did not answer evaluators' questions	1 Unable to answer some questions and/or given with hesitation and/or inaccurate	2 Gave appropriate responses to evaluators' questions	3 Responses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation			

Evaluator's Comments-Include two things done well and two opportunities for improvement:

TOTAL	
(92 Points Possible)	
Evaluator #:	
Evaluator Initials:	
RC Initials:	



LEVELS 3 & 4 RUBRIC

Participant	Name:

Chapter:		State:	Team #	#: Station #: Level:		
PORTFOLIO						POINT
FCCLA Planning Process Summary Page D–10 points	0 Planning Process Summary not provided	1 2 3 Planning Process steps are not clearly summarized or are inadequate	4 5 6 All Planning Process steps are summarized	7 8 Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project	9 10 The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained. No more than 1 page or 2 slides	
Career Exploration 0–5 points	0 No evidence of career research	1 2 Career research poorly detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	3 Career research loosely detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	4 Career research detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	5 Career research well detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	
Self–Assessment 0–5 points	0 No evidence of self– assessment	1 2 Self–assessment poorly detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	3 Self–assessment loosely detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	4 Self–assessment detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	5 Self–assessment well detailed using the Career Exploration and Self– Assessment Summary Page instruction sheet. (See specifications)	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Planning 0–5 points	0 Not evident	1 2 Planning portion of Lesson Plans unclear or does not meet criteria. (See specifications)	3 Lesson Plans generally meets all Planning criteria. (See specifications)	4 Lesson Plans meets all Planning criteria. (See specifications)	5 Lesson Plans clearly meets all Planning criteria. (See specifications)	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Organization 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 2 Materials and strategies for lessons implementation poorly detailed	3 Materials and strategies for lessons implementation generally detailed	4 Materials and strategies for lessons implementation detailed	5 Materials and strategies for lessons implementation well detailed	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Activities 0–5 points	0 No activities included	1 2 Activity plans are missing logistical and resource information. The activity is not creative or interesting and does not relate well to lesson/workshop	3 Activity plans include adequate logistical and resource information. Activity is interesting	4 Activity plans include excellent logistical and resource information. The activity(s) is interesting and beneficial to most audience members	5 Activity plans include logistical information and an extensive list of resources. Activity(s) is creative, interesting and enhances the quality of the lesson. All audience members would benefit from the activity	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Assessment 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 2 Assessment method(s) unclear or improvement plan poorly detailed	3 Assessment method(s) generally evident. Plan for improvement loosely detailed	4 Assessment method(s) evident. Plan for improvement detailed	5 Assessment method(s) clearly evident. Plan for improvement well detailed	
Lesson/Workshop Plan: Other Resources 0–5 points	0 No resources developed	1 2 Resources for lesson plans poorly developed or not included	3 Resources for lesson plans generally developed and included	4 Resources for lesson plans developed and included	5 Resources for lesson plans well developed and included	
Evidence of Prior Presentation 0–4 points	0 No documented evidence of prior presentations	1 Evidence of prior presentations documented. Outcomes and improvement methods poorly detailed	2 Evidence of prior presentations documented. Outcomes and improvement methods loosely detailed	3 Evidence of prior presentations documented. Outcomes and improvement methods detailed	4 Evidence of prior presentations well documented. Outcomes and improvement methods well detailed	
Evidence of Technology Used 0–3 points	0 Role of technology not evident	1 Role of technology poorly detailed	2 Role of technology included	3 Role of technology well detailed		
Works Cited/ Bibliography 0–3 points	0 Not included	1 Sources are incomplete, dated, unreliable and with many citation errors	2 Sources are complete, current and reliable, but have citation errors (see citation guide)	3 Sources are complete, current, reliable and in MLA/APA citation (see citation chart)		



LEVELS 3 & 4 RUBRIC (CONTINUED)

Portfolio Appearance 0-3 points 0 and illegible and professional with grammar or spelling errors 1 Portfolio is organized, neat, legible and grammar and spelling 2 Portfolio is offectively organized, neat, legible and professional with organized, neat, legible and professional with grammar and spelling SHADOWING EXPERIENCE Best Practices Educator 0-4 points 0 No documented shadowing experience with a best practices ducator poorly documented. Effect of experience on future career plans unclear 2 Shadowing experience with a best practices ducator loosely documented. Effect of experience on future career plans generally evident 3 Shadowing experience with a best practices ducator loosely documented. Effect of experience on future career plans generally evident 7 8 Presentation is not complete or presented briefly and does not cover information completely but does not flow well 9 1 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well 7 8 Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well 9 Presentation or relevant inform a samless and delivery Knowledge of Teaching or Training is not evident 0 No evidence of relationship between FCS coursework, standards and project. National program and career pathway identified 0-3 points 0 No evidence of relationship between FCS coursework, standards and project. National program and career pathway identified 3 Portfolio seffectively or provide of tracking or training is evident and used in the presentation 3 Portfolio seffectively provide of tracking or training is evident and used in the presentation 3 Portfolio seffectively providece of relationship between FCS coursework, standards and projec	
01234Best Practices Educator Shadowing experience with a best practices educator poorly documented. Effect of experience on future career plans unclearShadowing experience with a best practices educator docum educator docum experience on future career plans unclearShadowing experience with a best practices educator docum educator docum experience on future career plans generally evidentShadowing experience with a best practices educator docum educator docum extensively. Effe career plans generally evidentORAL PRESENTATION0127891Organization/ Delivery O-10 points0127891Nowledge of Careor plans for training is not evaluation for maining is inter with limited information training is inter with limited information12384Knowledge of Components of the project0123891Relationship of Consumer Standards O-3 points0123891Relationship of Consumer Standards O-3 points0123811Use of Portfolio O-3 points01233111111111111111111111111111111111111<	
0 1 2 3 4 Best Practices Educator Shadowing experience with a best practices educator poorly documented. Effect of experience on future career plans unclear Shadowing experience with a best practices educator loosely documented. Effect of experience on future career plans generally evident Shadowing experience with a best practices educator docum extensively. Effe experience on future career plans generally evident Shadowing experience with a best practices educator docum extensively. Effe experience on future career plans generally evident Shadowing experience with a best practices educator docum extensively. Effe experience on future career plans generally evident Shadowing experience with a best practices educator docum extensively. Effe experience on future career plans generally evident Organization/ Delivery 0-10 points 0 1 2 7 8 9 1 Knowledge of Teaching or Training 0-3 points 0 1 2 3 Knowledge of teaching or training is iont evident Showledge of teaching or training is core yearsentation Showledge of teachin	DOINTS
Organization/ Delivery 0-10 pointsO1 2 34 5 67 89 10Delivery 0-10 pointsPresentation is not complete or presented briefly and does not cover components of the projectThe presentation covers some or all topic elements with limited informationPresentation gives complete information but does not explain the project wellPresentation covers information completely but does not flow wellPresentation covers information covers information completely but does not flow wellPresentation covers information covers information information <td>tices iented ct of iture</td>	tices iented ct of iture
Organization/ Delivery 0-10 points01 2 34 5 67 89 10Delivery 0-10 pointsPresentation is not complete or presented briefly and does not cover components of the projectThe presentation covers some or all topic elements with limited informationPresentation gives complete information but does not explain the project wellPresentation covers information completely but does not flow wellPresentation covers information covers information completely but does not flow wellPresentation covers information covers information covers information completely but does not flow wellPresentation covers information covers information information inded eleching or <b< td=""><td>POINTS</td></b<>	POINTS
Knowledge of Teaching or Training 0-3 pointsKnowledge of teaching or training is not evidentKnowledge of teaching or training is limitedKnowledge of teaching or training is evident and used in the presentationKnowledge of teaching or training is clearly evident and used effectively in the presentationRelationship of Family and Consumer0123No evidence of relationship between FCS coursework, standards and project. National Program nor career pathway identifiedLimited evidence of relationship between FCS coursework, standards and project. National Program nor career pathway not identifiedEvidence of relationship between FCS coursework, standards and project. National Program and identifiedDetailed evidence of relationship between FCS coursework, standards and project. National Program and career pathway identified. All components explained wellMational Program or project. National Program and career pathway identified. All components explained wellPortfolio not used during presentation ORPortfolio incorporated throughout presentation ORPresentation) vers all ation with
Family and ConsumerNo evidence of relationship between FCS coursework, standards and project. Neither National Program nor career pathway identifiedLimited evidence of relationship between FCS coursework, 	
Use of Portfolio and visuals 0 1 2 3 During Portfolio not used during presentation Portfolio used minimally during presentation OR Portfolio incorporated throughout presentation Presentation moves seamlessly between oral	
Presentation was used to limited presentation and portfolio 0-3 points amount of speaking time	
Voice-pitch, tempo, volume 0 1 2 3 0-3 points Voice qualities not used effectively Voice quality is adequate voice quality is adequate Voice quality is good, but could improve Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing	
Body Language 0-2 points0120.1 Uses inappropriate gestures, posture or mannerisms, avoids eye contactGestures, posture, mannerisms, and eye contact is inconsistentGestures, posture, mannerisms, and eye contact are appropriateHerein the second sec	
Grammar/Word 0 1 2 3 Usage/ Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and 0–3 points Some (3–5) grammatical and pronunciation errors Few (1–2) grammatical and pronunciation errors Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors	
Responses to Evaluators' Questions0123Did not answer evaluators' questionsUnable to answer some questions and/or given with hesitation and/or inaccurateGave appropriate responses to evaluators' questionsResponses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation	
Evaluator's Comments–Include two things done well and two opportunities for improvement: (92 Poin Evaluator #:	TOTAL

2023-2024 COMPETITIVE EVENTS GUIDE – STAR Events Copyright 2023. Family, Career and Community Leaders of America, Inc. All rights reserved. www.fcclainc.org

Evaluator Initials:

RC Initials: